HYBRID SPACES WITH INTERESTING COHOMOLOGY

KATHRYN LESH

ABSTRACT. Let p be an odd prime, and let R be a polynomial algebra over the Steenrod algebra with generators in dimensions prime to p. To such an algebra is associated a p-adic pseudoreflection group W, and we assume that W is of order prime to p and irreducible. Adjoin to R a one-dimensional element z, and give R[z] an action of the Steenrod algebra by $\beta z = 0$ and $\beta x = (|x|/2)zx$ for an even dimensional element x. We show that the subalgebra of elements of R[z] consisting of elements of degree greater than one is realized uniquely, up to homotopy, as the cohomology of a p-complete space. This space can be thought of as a cross between spaces studied by Aguade, Broto, and Notbohm, and the Clark-Ewing examples, further studied by Dwyer, Miller, and Wilkerson.

1. Introduction

In this paper we add to the collection of algebras over the Steenrod algebra which are known to be uniquely realized as the mod p cohomology of p-complete spaces. We construct hybrids of spaces constructed by Aguade, Broto, and Notbohm [ABN] and of spaces constructed by Clark and Ewing [C-E], further studied by Dwyer, Miller, and Wilkerson [DMW]. To describe our results, we let p be an odd prime, and let R be a polynomial algebra over the Steenrod algebra with generators in dimensions prime to p. It was shown in [DMW, Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3] that such algebras R are in one-to-one correspondence with p-adic pseudoreflection groups $W \subseteq GL_n(Z_p)$ of order prime to p, and that such an R is realized uniquely (up to homotopy) as the cohomology of a p-complete space by the Borel construction $EW \times_W K(Z_p^n, 2)$ for its associated pseudoreflection group.

Now consider the algebra over the Steenrod algebra obtained by taking a polynomial algebra R as above and adjoining an element z in dimension one. Impose an action of the Steenrod algebra on R[z] by setting $\beta z = 0$ and $\beta x = (|x|/2)zx$ for an even dimensional element x, and extending by the Cartan formula. Let A_W denote the subalgebra of R[z] consisting of elements of degree greater than one.

Theorem 1.1. Let p be an odd prime, and let W be an irreducible finite pseudoreflection subgroup of $GL_n(Z_p)$ of order prime to p. There is, up to homotopy, a unique p-complete space X_W with $H^*(X_W; Z/p) \cong A_W$. That is, if Y is a

Received by the editors October 1, 1993.

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 55P15; Secondary 55P60.

p-complete space and $H^*(Y; \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong \mathbf{A}_W$, then there is a homotopy equivalence $X_W \to Y$.

We note that if A_W has one polynomial generator in dimension 2r prime to p, we obtain the "interesting cohomology" algebra $A_r \cong \mathbf{F}_p[x_{2r}] \otimes E(\beta x_{2r})$ of [ABN], and hence this work is a generalization of the corresponding theorem of [ABN].

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we construct the spaces X_W with $H^*X_W \cong A_W$. In the rest of the paper, we consider a p-complete space Y with $H^*Y \cong A_W$ and construct a homotopy equivalence $X_W \to Y$. Let V be an elementary abelian p-group whose rank is equal to the number of polynomial generators in A_W . Our strategy, like that of [ABN], is to study a component of the mapping space map(BV, Y). In Section 3, we compute Lannes's T-functor on A_W as a first step to understanding map(BV, Y), and in Section 4 we show that T gives the actual cohomology of the mapping space for a component we are interested in. Section 5 considers the homotopy theoretic properties of the mapping space and uses the basepoint evaluation $map(BV, Y) \to Y$ to construct a homotopy equivalence $X_W \to Y$. We note that the irreducibility of W is required only in the proof of Proposition 5.1.

The following conventions and notation will be in effect throughout the paper. The prime p is odd, and cohomology is taken with mod p coefficients unless otherwise specified. We write $\mathscr K$ for the category of unstable algebras over the Steenrod algebra. If X is a space with an action of the group G, we write Borel(G,X) for the Borel construction $EG\times_G X$. Following the notation of [DMW], we write $\hat{B}T$ for the space $K(Z_p^n,2)$, where n will always be clear from context. For one last piece of notation, recall that for odd primes p, $GL_1(Z_p) \cong Z/(p-1) \times Z_p$. We write π for the discrete group $Z_p \subseteq GL_1(Z_p)$ under the fixed choice of splitting $x \to (1+p)^x$.

2. Bokel Constructions

We begin our construction by considering Borel constructions analogous to those of [ABN, Section 5]. Let $\pi \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(Z_p)$ act diagonally on each component of Z_p^n , i.e. let π be embedded in $GL_n(Z_p)$ as multiples of the identity matrix. There is a corresponding action of π on $\hat{B}T = K(Z_p^n, 2)$, and we begin with the Borel construction for this action.

Proposition 2.1. $H^*\text{Borel}(\pi, \hat{B}T) \cong E(z) \otimes \mathbf{F}_p[x_1, ..., x_n]$ where |z| = 1, $|x_i| = 2$, and $\beta x_i = zx_i$.

Proof. We apply the Serre spectral sequence to the fibration

$$\hat{B}T \to \text{Borel}(\pi, \hat{B}T) \to B\pi.$$

The action of the fundamental group of the base on the mod p cohomology of the fiber is trivial, since $1 \in \pi$ acts on each coordinate of Z_p^n by multiplication by 1+p. The E_2 -term of the spectral sequence is $E(z) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p[x_1, ..., x_n]$ where |z|=1, $|x_i|=2$. For dimensional reasons there are no differentials, so $E_2=E_\infty$. No multiplicative extensions are possible, and it remains only to compute βx_i .

To do this, we reduce to the one-dimensional case. Consider the fibration

$$K(Z_p, 2) \rightarrow \text{Borel}(\pi, K(Z_p, 2)) \rightarrow B\pi$$

which, by the same reasoning as above, has Serre spectral sequence $E_2 = E_{\infty} = E(z') \otimes \mathbb{F}_p[x]$. To compute the action of the Bockstein, we compute low dimensional Z_p cohomology groups of $\operatorname{Borel}(\pi, K(Z_p, 2))$, using the Serre spectral sequence with twisted coefficients. For this spectral sequence, the E_2 -term is

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(\pi; H^qK(Z_p, 2)),$$

which is zero if p>1. The only possible contribution to the cohomology in dimension 2 comes from $H^0(\pi\,;\,H^2K(Z_p\,,\,2))$, which is zero. Contributing to cohomology in dimension 3 we have only $H^1(\pi\,;\,H^2K(Z_p\,,\,2))=Z/p$. Hence in the mod p cohomology of $\operatorname{Borel}(\pi\,,\,K(Z_p\,,\,2))$ we must have $\beta x=z'x$. But now the proposition follows easily by considering a map of fibrations

which maps z' to z and x to x_i . \square

For the next stage of our construction, we will study Borel constructions for actions of subgroups of $GL_n(Z_p)$ on $K(Z_p^n, 2) = \hat{B}T$. The subgroups we will be interested in are of the form $W \times \pi$, where W is a finite pseudoreflection subgroup of $GL_n(Z_p)$ of order prime to p, and π , as before, is embedded in $GL_n(Z_p)$ as scalar matrices.

Proposition 2.2. If $W \subseteq GL_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ and (|W|, p) = 1, then

$$H^*$$
Borel $(W \times \pi, \hat{B}T) \cong E(z) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p[x_1, ..., x_n]^W$.

Proof. Since $Borel(W \times \pi, \hat{B}T) \simeq Borel(W, Borel(\pi, \hat{B}T))$ and because (|W|, p) = 1, we know that $H^*Borel(W \times \pi, \hat{B}T)$ is isomorphic to the invariants of the W action on $H^*Borel(\pi, \hat{B}T)$. Now the actions of W and π on $\hat{B}T$ commute, so the inclusion of the fiber

$$\hat{B}T \to \text{Borel}(\pi, \hat{B}T)$$
,

is W-equivariant. It is also an isomorphism on even dimensional cohomology, so the action of W on the even dimensional cohomology of $\operatorname{Borel}(\pi\,,\,\hat{B}T)$ is the same as that on $H^*\hat{B}T$. Again because the actions of W and Z_p on $\hat{B}T$ commute, we see that W fixes the one-skeleton of $\operatorname{Borel}(\pi\,,\,\hat{B}T)$, and hence fixes the one-dimensional cohomology class z. \square

We are now ready to construct the spaces for which we claim homotopical uniqueness. Notice that the algebra over the Steenrod algebra formed by the elements of $H^*\text{Borel}(W \times \pi, \hat{B}T)$ of degree greater than or equal to two is isomorphic to A_W —this is because a polynomial algebra over the Steenrod algebra which has generators in dimensions prime to p is isomorphic to the invariants of the action of its associated pseudoreflection group $W \subseteq GL_n(Z_p)$ on $H^*\hat{B}T$. Further, Proposition 2.1 shows that the action of the Bockstein on

 H^* Borel $(W \times \pi, \hat{B}T)$ is the same as the action on A_W . We can realize the algebra A_W as the cohomology of a space as follows. Since

$$\pi_1 \operatorname{Borel}(W \times \pi, \hat{B}T) \cong W \times \pi,$$

we can take a homotopy element

$$f: S^1 \to \operatorname{Borel}(W \times \pi, \hat{B}T)$$

representing $1 \in \pi$, and note it is an isomorphism on H^1 . Letting C_W denote the cofiber of f, we have $H^*C_W \cong A_W$. Let X_W be the p-completion of C_W .

Proposition 2.3. X_W is a simply connected, p-complete space with $H^*X_W \cong A_W$. The homotopy groups of X_W are finite p-groups.

Proof. Since $H_1(C_W; \mathbf{F}_p)$ is zero, C_W is p-good (has the same mod p homology as its p-completion) and has simply connected p-completion by [B-K, VII, 3.1, 3.2]. The finiteness of the homotopy, which will be needed for the proofs of Section 4, follows exactly as in [ABN, Thm 5.5] from knowing the cohomology of X_W . We summarize the argument, which relies on the fact that X_W is simply connected and p-complete by [B-K, I, Proposition 5.2]. First, by [ABN, Proposition 5.7], the fact that $H^*(X_W; \mathbf{F}_p)$ is of finite type implies that $H^*(X_W; Z_p)$ is a finitely generated Z_p -module. Next, standard properties of the Bockstein spectral sequence show that the E_∞ term of the Bockstein spectral sequence for X_W is zero. Because $H^*(X_W; Z_p)$ is known to be finitely generated in each dimension, this tells us that in fact $H^*(X_W; Z_p)$ consists of finite p-groups. \square

3. Computations with T

Let Y be a p-complete space with $H^*Y \cong A_W$ and let V be an elementary abelian p-group with rank equal to the number of polynomial generators of A_W . In this section and the next we compute the cohomology of a component of the mapping space $\max(BV, Y)$ by using Lannes's T^V functor. This section computes a component of T^VA_W .

First we establish some notation. Choose an identification of $H^*\hat{B}T$ with a polynomial subalgebra of H^*BV and write $f:H^*\mathrm{Borel}(\pi\,,\,\hat{B}T)\to H^*BV$ for the map that is this identification on even dimensions and is zero on odd ones. We write f also for the restriction to $A_W\subseteq H^*\mathrm{Borel}(\pi\,,\,\hat{B}T)$, and note that if we let W act on H^*BV by first reducing W to GL(V), then f restricted to A_W is inclusion of W-invariants on even dimensions (and zero on odd ones). If $H^*Y\cong A_W$ then H^*Y is of finite type, and there is an isomorphism $[BV\,,\,Y]\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{X}}(H^*Y\,,\,H^*BV)=\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{X}}(A_W\,,\,H^*BV)$ [Lannes, Theorem 0.4]. By abuse of notation, we write $\mathrm{map}(BV\,,\,Y)_f$ for the component of the mapping space which corresponds to $f:A_W\to H^*BV$.

We begin the calculation of H^* map $(BV, Y)_f$ in this section with the computation of Lannes's T-functor. Recall that given an elementary abelian p-group V, the functor $T^V: \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{K}$ is left adjoint to the functor $H^*BV \otimes -$. The

evaluation function

$$BV \times map(BV, Y) \rightarrow Y$$

induces a map on cohomology

$$H^*Y \to H^*BV \otimes H^* map(BV, Y)$$
,

the adjoint to which is a map

$$\lambda: T^V H^* Y \to H^* \operatorname{map}(BV, Y).$$

Under favorable circumstances, λ is an isomorphism, and so the computation of T^VH^*Y is a natural first step in the study of $\operatorname{map}(BV,Y)$. Further, because T^VK is a functor of V as well as of K, there is an action of GL(V) on T^VK . For an appropriate model of BV, there is likewise a GL(V) action on $\operatorname{map}(BV,Y)$, and it is not hard to show that $\lambda:T^VH^*Y\to H^*\operatorname{map}(BV,Y)$ is GL(V)-equivariant. In our calculation of T^VA_W we will also compute part of the GL(V) action, which is required for later application in Section 5.

Since we are only interested in one component of the mapping space, namely $\max(BV,Y)_f$, we restrict our attention to the correponding component of T^VH^*Y . Given the map $f:H^*Y\to H^*BV$, the adjoint map $T^VH^*Y\to \mathbf{F}_p$ gives an action of $(T^VH^*Y)^0$ on \mathbf{F}_p . We define the component of T corresponding to f by

$$T_f^V H^* Y \cong T^V H^* Y \otimes_{(T^V H^* Y)^0} \mathbf{F}_p ,$$

and λ induces a map

$$T_f^V H^* Y \to H^* \operatorname{map}(BV, Y)_f$$
.

Now T^VH^*Y and $\operatorname{map}(BV,Y)$ both come equipped with an action of $W\subseteq GL_n(Z_p)$ by first reducing W to $\overline{W}\subseteq GL(V)$. In the case that $H^*Y\cong A_W$ and f is inclusion of invariants on even dimensions, the W action on H^*BV fixes f, and hence the action of W on T^VA_W restricts to an action on $T_f^VA_W$. Our goal in this section is to compute $T_f^VA_W$ as a W-module. We do this in two stages, first computing $T^VH^*\mathrm{Borel}(\pi,\hat{B}T)$ by a geometric argument, and then retrieving $T_f^VA_W$ from it by algebraic arguments relying on the fact that $A_W\subseteq H^*\mathrm{Borel}(\pi,\hat{B}T)$ and T^V is exact.

To compute $T^VH^*\mathrm{Borel}(\pi\,,\,\hat{B}T)$, we will actually run Lannes's machinery backwards. We first compute the cohomology of the space

$$map(BV, Borel(\pi, \hat{B}T)),$$

and then we check that the hypotheses of the following lemma, specialized from one of Lannes, are satisfied:

Lemma 3.1. [Lannes, Proposition 3.4.4] Let Z be a fibrant p-complete space whose mod p cohomology is of finite type, and let S be a closed subset of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{H}}(H^*Z, H^*BV)$. If $H^*\operatorname{map}(BV, Z)_S$ is of finite type and $\operatorname{map}(BV, Z)_S$ is p-complete, then

$$\lambda: T_S^V H^*Z \to H^* \operatorname{map}(BV, Z)_S$$

is an isomorphism.

This will allow us to compute $T^V H^* Borel(\pi, \hat{B}T)$.

Proposition 3.2.

$$H^*\mathrm{map}(BV\,,\,\mathrm{Borel}(\pi\,,\,\hat{B}T))\cong\bigoplus_{\mathrm{End}(V)}H^*\mathrm{Borel}(\pi\,,\,\hat{B}T).$$

Proof. The key ingredient is the fact that $map(BV, \hat{B}T) \simeq \coprod_{End(V)} \hat{B}T$ (see, for example, [D-Z, Theorem 1.1]). The space $Borel(\pi, \hat{B}T)$ sits in a fibration

$$\hat{B}T \to \text{Borel}(\pi, \hat{B}T) \to B\pi$$

which gives rise to the fibration

$$map(BV, \hat{B}T) \rightarrow map(BV, Borel(\pi, \hat{B}T)) \rightarrow map(BV, B\pi).$$

There is a continuous function

$$Borel(\pi, map(BV, \hat{B}T)) \rightarrow map(BV, Borel(\pi, \hat{B}T))$$

which takes $(e, g: x \to y)$ to $\overline{g}: x \to (e, y)$ and fits into a map of fibrations:

One can see that the right vertical map is an equivalence by showing that it is an isomorphism on homotopy, using the fact that $B\pi$ is an Eilenberg-Mac Lane space. Another easy check shows that the left arrow is actually the identity, so the center vertical map is an equivalence. Since $\max(BV, \hat{B}T) \simeq \coprod_{\text{End}(V)} \hat{B}T$, and π can be seen to act diagonally on the components, we see that in fact

$$\operatorname{map}(BV\,,\,\operatorname{Borel}(\pi\,,\,\hat{B}T))\simeq\operatorname{Borel}(\pi\,,\,\operatorname{map}(BV\,,\,\hat{B}T))\simeq\coprod_{\operatorname{End}(V)}\operatorname{Borel}(\pi\,,\,\hat{B}T).$$

Corollary 3.3.

$$T^V H^* \operatorname{Borel}(\pi, \hat{B}T) \cong \bigoplus_{\operatorname{End}(V)} H^* \operatorname{Borel}(\pi, \hat{B}T).$$

Proof. We must check the hypotheses of Lemma 3.1. From Proposition 3.2 we know that $H^* \text{map}(BV, \text{Borel}(\pi, \hat{B}T))$ is of finite type, so we need only check that $\text{Borel}(\pi, \hat{B}T)$ and $\text{map}(BV, \text{Borel}(\pi, \hat{B}T))$ are p-complete. Each of these spaces is p-complete for the same reason: it is the total space in a fibration where the base and fiber are p-complete, and the fundamental group of the base acts trivially on the mod p cohomology of the fiber [B-K, II, Lemma 5.1]. \square

Now let $S = H^* \mathrm{Borel}(\pi, \hat{B}T)$ and recall the algebra A_W can be obtained from S by letting $W \subseteq GL_n(Z_p)$ act on $\hat{B}T$ and taking A_W to be the elements of S^W of degree greater than one. Because T^V is exact, $T_f^V A_W \subseteq T_f^V S^W$. Since T^V commutes with invariants, we can compute $T^V S^W$ by understanding the action that $GL_n(Z_p)$ has on $T^V S$ through its action on S. Similarly, the GL(V) action on $T^V A_W$ is a restriction of the GL(V) action on

 T^VS . The commutative ladder of Proposition 3.2 says that both of these actions can be obtained from studying the actions of $GL_n(Z_p)$ and GL(V) on $map(BV, \hat{B}T)$ (by acting on $\hat{B}T$ and BV, respectively), and hence on $map(BV, Borel(\pi, \hat{B}T)) \simeq Borel(\pi, map(BV, \hat{B}T))$. Note that the actions of $GL_n(Z_p)$ and GL(V) on $map(BV, \hat{B}T)$ commute with each other and with the action of π .

We return to the fact that

$$\operatorname{map}(BV, \hat{B}T) \simeq \coprod_{\operatorname{End}(V)} \hat{B}T,$$

or alternatively, $map(BV, \hat{B}T) \simeq End(V) \times \hat{B}T$. (One can think of End(V) as indexing the possible maps $H^*\hat{B}T \to H^*BV$.) The action of GL(V) simply permutes the coordinates, and so on cohomology an element $g \in GL(V)$ acts by

$$g(f, x) = (fg^{-1}, x)$$
 for $(f, x) \in \text{End}(V) \times H^*\hat{B}T$.

An element $h \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, on the other hand, acts both by changing the component and by twisting: if \overline{h} denotes the reduction of h mod p, then

$$(f, x) h = (\overline{h}^{-1} f, h^* x).$$

Proposition 3.4. Let $W \subseteq GL_n(Z_p)$ and for any $e: H^*\hat{B}T \to H^*BV$, let W_e be the subgroup of W which fixes e. Let \overline{W} denote the reduction of W mod p. Then

$$T^V(H^*\mathrm{Borel}(\pi\,,\,\hat{B}T))^W \cong \bigoplus_{\langle e \rangle \in \overline{W} \backslash \mathrm{End}(V)} (H^*\mathrm{Borel}(\pi\,,\,\hat{B}T))^{W_e}.$$

The action of GL(V) on $T^{V}(H^{*}Borel(\pi, \hat{B}T))^{W}$ is by

$$g(h, x) = (hg^{-1}, (g^{-1})^*x).$$

Corollary 3.5.

$$T_f^V(H^*Borel(\pi, \hat{B}T))^W \cong H^*Borel(\pi, \hat{B}T)$$

where $\overline{w} \in \overline{W} \subseteq GL(V)$ acts on $H^*Borel(\pi, \hat{B}T)$ by the map

$$(w^{-1})^*: H^*\operatorname{Borel}(\pi, \hat{B}T) \to H^*\operatorname{Borel}(\pi, \hat{B}T).$$

The proofs of Proposition 3.4 and Corollary 3.5 are easy calculations using the formulas above for the action of $GL_n(Z_p)$ and GL(V) on $H^*\mathrm{Borel}(\pi\,,\,\hat{B}\,T)$. Corollary 3.5 uses the fact that the component $T_f^VH^*\mathrm{Borel}(\pi\,,\,\hat{B}\,T)$ corresponds to the coset of the identity element of $\mathrm{End}(V)$.

Finally, we must extract $T_f^V A_W$ from $T^V (H^* Borel(\pi, \hat{B}T))^W$.

Proposition 3.6. The inclusion $A_W \to (H^*\mathrm{Borel}(\pi\,,\,\hat{B}T))^W$ induces a mapping $T^VA_W \to T^V(H^*\mathrm{Borel}(\pi\,,\,\hat{B}T))^W$ which is an isomorphism an all components except the zero component.

Proof. We first recall that for any algebra $K \in \mathcal{H}$ with an finite set of algebra indecomposables, the null component of $T^V K$ is isomorphic to K [D-W, Theorem 3.2]. In this case the map $\eta_K : T^V K \to K$ which is adjoint to

 $K \to H^*BV \otimes K$ by $k \to (1, k)$ is an isomorphism from the null component of T^VK to K. The kernel of η_K is then isomorphic to the sum of the non-null components of T^VK .

Now consider the following ladder of exact sequences:

The result now follows from the observations above, the fact that $\eta_{\Sigma F_p}$ is an isomorphism [Lannes, Proposition 2.2.4], and the fact that A_W and $(H^*Borel(\pi, \hat{B}T))^W$ are finitely generated algebras. \square

Corollary 3.7.

$$T_f^V(A_W) \cong H^* \operatorname{Borel}(\pi, \hat{B}T).$$

4. Cohomology of
$$map(BV, Y)_f$$

The goal of this section is to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1. Let Y be a space with $H^*Y \cong A_W$, and let $f: H^*Y \to H^*BV$ be the inclusion of invariants on even dimensions. Then $H^*map(BV, Y)_f \cong T_f^V H^*Y$.

The proof of Proposition 4.1 is at the end of the section. In the next few paragraphs we outline the strategy, which is the same as that of [ABN, Section 6].

First note that the isomorphism of Proposition 4.1 is not guaranteed to us by the usual T-technology [Lannes, Corollary 3.2.2] because $T_f^V H^* Y$ is not zero in dimension one. Nonetheless, the work of Dror Farjoun and Smith shows that $T_f^V H^* Y$ always has a geometric interpretation, even when it does not give the cohomology of the mapping space. We write $P_n Y$ for the nth Postnikov section of Y, and f_n for the composite $BV \to Y \to P_n Y$. Farjoun and Smith [DF-S, Theorem 1.1] show

$$T_f^V H^* Y \cong \underset{n}{\underset{n}{\lim}} H^* \operatorname{map}(BV, P_n Y)_{f_n}.$$

(To apply their theorem we use the fact that Y is p-complete and nilpotent, the latter following from simple connectivity of Y.) There is an obvious map

$$\underset{n}{\underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim}} H^* \operatorname{map}(BV, P_n Y)_{f_n} \to H^* \underset{n}{\underset{\longleftarrow}{\lim}} \operatorname{map}(BV, P_n Y)_{f_n},$$

and the content of Proposition 4.1 is that this map is an isomorphism even though, in general, cohomology is poorly related to inverse limits of spaces.

We proceed by making the spaces $\operatorname{map}(BV, P_nY)_{f_n}$ total spaces of fibrations whose bases and fibers turn out to be tractable. By Corollary 3.7, we know $T_f^V H^* Y \cong E(z) \otimes \mathbf{F}_p[x_1, ..., x_n]$ where $\beta x_j = z x_j$. The class $z \in T_f^V H^* Y \cong \lim_{n \to \infty} H^1 \operatorname{map}(BV, P_n Y)_{f_n}$ cannot support any Bockstein (all classes in dimension two support a first order Bockstein), and therefore it must come

from a sequence of compatible classes $z_n \in H^1 \operatorname{map}(BV, P_n Y)_{f_n}$ on which higher and higher order Bocksteins are defined. We can choose compatible maps $\operatorname{map}(BV, P_n Y)_{f_n} \to K(Z/p^{\alpha(n)}, 1)$ with $\alpha(n) \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, and we write F_n for the fiber of $\operatorname{map}(BV, P_n Y)_{f_n} \to K(Z/p^{\alpha(n)}, 1)$. This gives a map of towers

$$\{F_n\} \to \{ map(BV, P_nY)_{f_n} \} \to \{ K(Z/p^{\alpha(n)}, 1) \}$$

and a fibration at the inverse limit

$$\lim_{\stackrel{\longleftarrow}{n}} F_n \to \operatorname{map}(BV, Y)_f \to K(\pi, 1).$$

(Recall that $\pi \cong Z_p$.) It turns out that circumstances are favorable for computing $\lim_n H^*F_n$ and for showing that $H^*\lim_n F_n \cong \lim_n H^*F_n$. Further, $H^*K(\pi, 1) \cong \lim_n H^*K(Z/p^{\alpha(n)}, 1)$. Our plan is to compare the Serre spectral sequence for the inverse limit fibration with the direct limit of the Serre spectral sequences for each n to give $H^*\lim_n \max(BV, P_nY)_{f_n}$.

Lemma 4.2. $\lim_n H^* F_n \cong \mathbb{F}_p [x_1, ..., x_n].$

Proof. For each n, the fibration

$$F_n \to \operatorname{map}(BV, P_n Y)_{f_n} \to K(\mathbb{Z}/p^{\alpha(n)}, 1)$$

has a converging Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence, since the fundamental group of the base is a finite p-group [Dwyer]. Hence for each n we have a spectral sequence

$$\operatorname{Tor}_{H^*BZ/p^{\alpha(n)}}(H^*\operatorname{map}(BV, P_nY)_{f_n}, \mathbb{F}_p) \Rightarrow H^*F_n.$$

We would like to take the direct limit over n of these spectral sequences, but we note that in general the direct limit of spectral sequences need not converge to the direct limit of the abutments. The two possible problems are (1) non-detection: it could be that an element of the direct limit of the abutments moves into higher and higher filtration as it moves through the direct limit of spectral sequences, in which case it would not be detected in the direct limit spectral sequence; and (2) fake cycles: if an element supports longer and longer differentials as it goes through the direct limit, it will be an infinite cycle in the direct limit spectral sequence even though it does not represent a class in the abutment at any finite stage. In the case of the cohomology Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence, however, neither of these things can happen. The filtration giving rise to the spectral sequence is

...
$$\supset F_{-2} \supset F_{-1} \supset F_0$$

and the differentials raise filtration, so any given spot in the spectral sequence can only support differentials of fixed length. Further, a class can only move up in filtration a finite number of times as it goes through the direct limit, taking care of the non-detection problem.

Hence we can pass to the direct limit over n and obtain a converging direct limit spectral sequence

$$\operatorname{Tor}_{E(z)}(E(z) \otimes \mathbf{F}_{p}[x_{1}, x_{2}, ..., x_{n}], \mathbf{F}_{p}) \Rightarrow \lim_{\substack{n \\ n}} H^{*}F_{n}.$$

The direct limit spectral sequence collapses and we find

$$\lim H^*F_n \cong \mathbb{F}_p[x_1, x_2, ..., x_n].$$

Lemma 4.3. $H^* \lim_n F_n \cong \lim_n H^* F_n$.

Lemma 4.3 follows from the following lemma of Lannes [Lannes, Lemme 3.2.3], for which we recall some definitions. A tower of groups $\{G_n\}$ is protrivial if for each n there exists a k such that $G_{n+k} \to G_n$ is the zero map. A map between towers of groups is a proisomorphism if the towers of kernels and cokernels are protrivial, and a tower is proconstant if it is proisomorphic to a constant tower.

Lemma 4.4. Let $\{Z_n\}$ be a tower of fibrations of pointed spaces and let Z_{∞} be the inverse limit of the tower. We make the following hypotheses:

- (1) The tower $\{\overline{H}_0Z_n\}$ is protrivial.
- (2) The tower of groups $\{\pi_1 Z_n\}$ is proisomorphic to a finite p-group P.
- (3) The tower of \mathbf{F}_p -vector spaces $\{H_i Z_n\}$ is proconstant for $i \geq 2$.

Then the map $\overline{H}_i Z_{\infty} \to \{\overline{H}_i Z_n\}$ is a proisomorphism for each i and the map $\pi_1 Z_{\infty} \to \{\pi_1 Z_n\}$ induces an isomorphism $\pi_1 Z_{\infty} \cong P$.

Proof of Lemma 4.3. We verify that the conditions of Lemma 4.4 are met in the case of the tower $\{F_n\}$. We have to convert our information about cohomology into information about homology, for which we need to prove finiteness. However, having established that, we will use the fact that if $\{G_n\}$ is a tower of finite groups, then

- (1) $\lim_n G_n = 0 \Rightarrow \{G_n\}$ is protrivial.
- (2) $\lim_{n} G_n$ finite $\Rightarrow \{G_n\}$ is proconstant.

We first show that H_iF_n is finite. Our *p*-complete space Y with $H^*Y \cong A_W$ has homotopy groups which are finite p-groups, by the same argument as that of Proposition 2.3, which depends only on cohomology. An inductive argument shows that $\pi_i \operatorname{map}(BV, P_nY)_{f_n}$ is a finite p-group for all i and n, and therefore π_iF_n is also a finite p-group by the long exact sequence in homotopy for the fibration

$$F_n \to \operatorname{map}(BV, P_nY)_{f_n} \to K(Z/p^{\alpha(n)}, 1).$$

Therefore F_n is p-complete, nilpotent, and H^*F_n is of finite type. Now we check the hypotheses of Lemma 4.4, using the fact that H_*F_n is finite and dual to H^*F_n .

- (1) $\lim_n \overline{H}^0 F_n = 0 \Rightarrow \lim_n \overline{H}_0 F_n = 0 \Rightarrow {\overline{H}_0 F_n}$ is protrivial.
- (2) We claim that the tower $\{\pi_1 F_n\}$ is actually protrivial. First, $\lim_{n \to \infty} H^1 F_n = 0$ $\Rightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} H_1 F_n = 0$ and the tower $\{H_1 F_n\}$ is protrivial. Therefore for

each n there exists N such that the image of the map $\pi_1 F_{n+N} \to \pi_1 F_n$ is contained in the commutator subgroup of $\pi_1 F_n$. However, $\pi_1 F_n$ is a finite p-group and must be nilpotent. An inductive argument then shows that for some N', the map $\pi_1 F_{n+N'} \to \pi_1 F_n$ is actually zero.

(3) $\lim_n H^i F_n$ is finite, so $\lim_n H_i F_n$ is finite and $\{H_i F_n\}$ is proconstant.

Therefore Lemma 4.4 applies to give us $H_* \underset{\longleftarrow}{\lim}_n F_n \cong \underset{\longleftarrow}{\lim}_n H_* F_n$, and dually, the conclusion of Lemma 4.3. \square

Hence we know that $H^* \varinjlim_n F_n \cong \mathbf{F}_p[x_1, x_2, ..., x_n]$, and we would like to conclude that $\varinjlim_n F_n \simeq \hat{B}T$. There is certainly a map $\varinjlim_n F_n \to \hat{B}T$ which is a mod p homology isomorphism. To know that this map is a homotopy equivalence, it is then sufficient to know that both spaces are $H \mathbf{F}_p$ -local, which is certainly true for $\hat{B}T$. In the case of $\varinjlim_n F_n$, note that since Y is p-complete, it is $H \mathbf{F}_p$ -local, and hence $\max(BV, Y)_f$, being the homotopy (inverse) limit of local spaces, is again local. Then $\liminf_n F_n$ is the homotopy fiber of a map between the local spaces $\max(BV, Y)_f$ and $K(\pi, 1)$ and is local also, finishing the proof that $\lim_n F_n \simeq \hat{B}T$. Thus the inverse limit fibration of

$$\{F_n\} \to \{ map(BV, P_nY)_{f_n} \} \to \{ K(Z/p^{\alpha(n)}, 1) \}$$

is a fibration

$$\hat{B}T \to \text{map}(BV, Y)_f \to B\pi$$
.

Proof of Proposition 4.1. We compare Serre spectral sequences using the maps of fibrations

We must use local coefficients, since the actions of the fundamental groups of the bases on the cohomology of the fibers are not known to be trivial. We obtain compatible maps of spectral sequences

$$\begin{array}{cccc} E_2 = H^*(Z/p^{\alpha(n)}\,;\, \mathscr{H}^*F_n) & \Rightarrow & H^*\mathrm{map}(BV\,,\, P_nY)_{f_n} \\ & & & \downarrow \\ E_2 = H^*(\pi\,;\, \mathscr{H}^*\hat{B}T) & \Rightarrow & H^*\mathrm{map}(BV\,,\, Y)_f. \end{array}$$

As in the proof of Lemma 4.2, we can pass to the direct limit to obtain a map of spectral sequences

This map of spectral sequences is an isomorphism on E_2 terms by Lemma 4.5 below, because $H^*\hat{B}T \cong \lim_n H^*F_n$, and therefore

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^*\mathsf{map}(BV\,,\,Y)_f &\cong & \lim_n H^*\mathsf{map}(BV\,,\,P_nY) \\ &\cong & \overrightarrow{T_f^V}H^*Y \\ &\cong & E(z)\otimes \,\mathbb{F}_p\left[x_1\,,\,x_2\,,\,...x_n\right] \end{array}$$

and the proof of Lemma 4.1 is complete.

Lemma 4.5. Let M_n be a mod p vector space which is a module over $Z[Z/p^n]$ and suppose given maps $M_n \to M_{n+1}$ which are Z/p^{n+1} -equivariant. If $\varinjlim M_n$ is a finite vector space, then

$$H^*(\mathbb{Z}_p; \lim M_n) \cong \lim H^*(\mathbb{Z}/p^n; M_n).$$

Proof. By direct computation with [Brown, p. 58], one can show that if M is a $Z[Z/p^n]$ -module which is all p-torsion, then

$$\lim_{\longrightarrow\atop i} H^*(Z/p^{n+i}; M) \cong H^*(Z; M).$$

Hence using the fact that $H^*(Z; \underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim} M_n) \cong \underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim} (Z; M_n)$, under the hypotheses of the lemma we have

$$H^*(Z; \underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim} M_n) \cong \underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim} H^*(Z/p^n; M_n).$$

On the other hand, by considering the Serre spectral sequence for $Z \to Z_p \to Z_p/Z$, we can see that if $\lim M_n$ is a finite mod p vector space, then

$$H^*(Z; \underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim} M_n) \cong H^*(Z_p; \underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim} M_n),$$

the point being that the uniquely p-divisible group Z_p/Z must have trivial action on the finite vector space $H^*(Z; \varinjlim M_n)$, and $H^i(Z_p/Z; Z/p) = 0$ for i > 0. \square

5. Homotopy type of $map(BV, Y)_f$

In the previous section we showed that $map(BV, Y)_f$ lies in a fibration

$$\hat{B}T \rightarrow \text{map}(BV, Y)_f \rightarrow B\pi$$
.

In this section we identify the homotopy type of $map(BV, Y)_f$. We first note that such fibrations are classified by

- (1) An action of π on \mathbb{Z}_p^n , and
- (2) An element of $H^3(\pi; \mathbb{Z}_p^n)$.

Since the cohomology group is zero, $map(BV, Y)_f$ is determined by the action of π on \mathbb{Z}_p^n , which we calculate in this section.

Proposition 5.1. If Y is p-complete with $H^*Y \cong A_W$ where W is an irreducible finite pseudoreflection group of order prime to p, then $map(BV, Y)_f \simeq Borel(\pi, \hat{B}T)$.

Remark. It is easy to see from the Serre spectral sequence (with twisted coefficients) for the fibration

$$\hat{B}T \rightarrow \text{map}(BV, Y)_f \rightarrow B\pi$$

that the action of π on $H^*\hat{B}T$ must be trivial—otherwise the E_2 -term would be too small to give $H^*\text{map}(BV, Y)_f \cong E(z) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p[x_1, ..., x_n]$, as computed in the previous section. However, we note in passing that cohomology is NOT sufficient to determine the homotopy type of the space $\text{map}(BV, Y)_f$.

Our strategy to prove Proposition 5.1 is to show that the action of W on $\operatorname{map}(BV,Y)_f$ can be made to give an action of W on Z_p^n which commutes with the action of $\pi \cong \pi_1 \operatorname{map}(BV,Y)_f$ on $Z_p^n \cong \pi_2 \operatorname{map}(BV,Y)_f$. If we then assume that W is an irreducible representation, a variation of Schur's Lemma tells us that π must act by multiplication by scalars. Just what the scalars are is detected by the action of the Bockstein in $H^*\operatorname{map}(BV,Y)_f$.

The action of W on $\operatorname{map}(BV,Y)_f$ does not automatically give an action of W on $\pi_2\operatorname{map}(BV,Y)_f\cong Z_p^n$ because of basepoint problems. Instead, we consider the Borel construction for the action of W on $\operatorname{map}(BV,Y)_f$ and show that its fundamental group, which is an extension of W by π , is actually a direct product. This provides commuting actions of W and π on $\pi_2\operatorname{Borel}(W,\operatorname{map}(BV,Y)_f)\cong \pi_2\operatorname{map}(BV,Y)_f\cong Z_p^n$.

Proposition 5.2.

$$\pi_1 \operatorname{Borel}(W, \operatorname{map}(BV, Y)_f) \cong W \times \pi.$$

Proof. The long exact homotopy sequence for the fibration

$$\hat{B}T \to \text{map}(BV, Y)_f \to B\pi$$

gives $\pi_1 \operatorname{map}(BV, Y)_f \cong \pi$. Therefore $\pi_1 \operatorname{Borel}(W, \operatorname{map}(BV, Y)_f)$ is an extension

$$(*) 0 \to \pi \to \pi_1 \to W \to 1.$$

Such an extension is determined by an action of W on π and an element of $H^2(W; \pi)$. Because |W| is prime to p, we have $H^*(W; \pi) = 0$. Hence the extension is a semi-direct product, and is completely specified by a homomorphism $W \to \operatorname{Aut}(\pi) \cong Z/(p-1) \times Z_p$. Since W is torsion, its image is contained in Z/(p-1).

We will show that the homomorphism $W \to \operatorname{Aut}(\pi)$ is actually trivial. We know $\pi_1 \operatorname{map}(BV, Y)_f \cong H_1(\operatorname{map}(BV, Y)_f; Z) \cong \pi$, and the action of W on π in the extension (*) is the same as the action of the fundamental group of the base on the one-dimensional homology of the fiber in the fibration

$$map(BV, Y)_f \rightarrow Borel(W, map(BV, Y)_f) \rightarrow BW.$$

However, the action of W on $H_1(\text{map}(BV, Y)_f; \mathbf{F}_p)$ is trivial, by Corollary 3.5 and Proposition 3.6. Since any nontrivial action of a finite group on π would still be detected after reduction to Z/p, the action of W on π must actually be trivial. Therefore the extension (*) is a semi-direct product with trivial action, i.e. $\pi_1 \text{Borel}(W, \text{map}(BV, Y)_f) \cong W \times \pi$. \square

Proof of Proposition 5.1. We know that the homotopy type of $\operatorname{map}(BV, Y)_f$ is determined by the action of the fundamental group, π , on $\pi_2 \operatorname{map}(BV, Y)_f \cong Z_p^n$: any such action corresponds to a unique space (up to homotopy), namely the Borel construction for the associated action of π on $\hat{B}T$. Hence to compute the homotopy type of $\operatorname{map}(BV, Y)_f$, we need only determine the action of π_1 on π_2 .

To analyze the action of π on \mathbb{Z}_p^n , consider the fibration

$$map(BV, Y)_f \rightarrow Borel(W, map(BV, Y)_f) \rightarrow BW.$$

Inclusion of the fiber gives a monomorphism $\pi \to W \times \pi$ on the fundamental group, and an isomorphism on π_2 , so the action of $\pi \subseteq \pi_1$ on $\pi_2 \cong Z_p^n$ is the same in the fiber and total space. On the other hand, in the total space, we have an action of $W \times \pi$ on Z_p^n , that is, we have commuting actions of W and π on Z_p^n . Now the representation of W on Z_p^n is, up to conjugacy, the natural one given by the fact that W is a subgroup of $GL_n(Z_p)$, for that is certainly what it is on homology (see Corollary 3.5) and by [DMW, Theorem 1.5], a pseudoreflection group of order prime to p in $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$ lifts uniquely up to conjugacy to a subgroup of $GL_n(Z_p)$.

However, by assumption we have an irreducible representation of W. If we were studying representations over complex numbers, Schur's Lemma would say that the action of π had to be multiplication by scalars. We imitate the proof of Schur's Lemma in the p-adic context. Tensoring Z_p^n with the rationals gives us a representation of $W \times Z_p$ over Q_p . The only endomorphisms of this representation of W are either automorphisms or zero, since the representation is irreducible. Hence the action of an element $z \in \pi$ on Q_p^n is either zero or an automorphism. By assumption, W is a pseudoreflection group, so W contains at least one pseudoreflection, which has a one-dimensional eigenspace in $(Q_p)^n$. Since the action of $z \in Z_p$ must stabilize this eigenspace, z must act there by multiplication by a scalar, say by multiplication by $c \in Q_p$. But then z - c is an endomorphism of the representation of w and has a nontrivial kernel, implying z - c must be zero. Therefore z acts by multiplication by z on all of z must be zero. Therefore z acts by multiplication by z on all of z must preserve z must be zero. Therefore z acts by multiplication by z on all of z must preserve z must be zero.

Therefore the action of π on Z_p^n is given by multiplication by scalars, that is, by a homomorphism $\pi \to GL_n(Z_p)$ whose image is multiples of the identity matrix. We can also think of this homomorphism as a map $\pi \to Z_p$ whose image lies in the p-adic units. Two such homomorphisms f, g will give homotopy equivalent Borel constructions $\operatorname{Borel}(\pi,\hat{B}T)$ if there is an automorphism α of π with $f=g\circ\alpha$. This will happen if the highest powers of p dividing 1-f(1) and 1-g(1) are the same. Thus up to equivalence of the Borel construction, there is one action of π for each positive integer, say by multiplication by $1+p^k$. However, a computation with the Serre spectral sequence with twisted coefficients shows that the Borel construction for multiplication by $1+p^k$ has a kth order Bockstein on $H^2(\operatorname{Borel}(\pi,\hat{B}T); \mathbf{F}_p)$. Hence the fact that elements of $H^2(\operatorname{map}(BV,Y)_f)$ support a first order Bockstein implies that $\operatorname{map}(BV,Y)_f \simeq \operatorname{Borel}(\pi,\hat{B}T)$ where π acts by multiplication by 1+p i.e. by the usual action of $\pi \subseteq GL_1(Z_p)$ on Z_p . \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let Y be a p-complete space with $H^*Y \cong A_W$ and let V be an elementary abelian p-group with rank equal to the number of polymonial generators of A_W . Let $f:A_W\to H^*BV$ be the map which is inclusion of invariants on even dimensions (and zero on odd ones) and also the function $BV\to Y$ which realizes it. By Proposition 5.1, $\operatorname{map}(BV,Y)_f\simeq\operatorname{Borel}(\pi,\hat{B}T)$, where π acts on $\hat{B}T\simeq K(Z_p^n,2)$ diagonally by multiplication by 1+p. There is a continuous function

$$map(BV, Y)_f \rightarrow Y$$

by basepoint evaluation, and this map is equivariant with respect to the action of the group $W \subseteq GL_n(Z_p)$ where the action on Y is trivial and the action of W on $map(BV, Y)_f$ is through reduction of W to GL(V). (This is because the basepoint of BV is fixed by the action of W on BV.) This gives us an extension over the Borel construction

$$Borel(W, map(BV, Y)_f) \rightarrow Y$$
,

and it is easy to see from Corollary 3.7 that this last map is an isomorphism on cohomology in dimensions greater than one. Further,

$$Borel(W, map(BV, Y)_f) \simeq Borel(W \times \pi, \hat{B}T),$$

so what we really have is a map

$$Borel(W \times \pi, \hat{B}T) \rightarrow Y$$

which is a cohomology isomorphism in dimensions greater than one.

As in the construction of X_W in Section 2, let $g: S^1 \to \operatorname{Borel}(W \times \pi, \hat{B}T)$ represent the element $\operatorname{id} \times 1 \in \pi_1 \operatorname{Borel}(W \times \pi, \hat{B}T) \cong W \times Z_p$ and let C_W be the cofiber of g. The composite

$$S^1 \to \operatorname{Borel}(W \times \pi, \hat{B}T) \to Y$$

is null, because Y is simply connected (p-complete with $H^1=0$), and this gives an extension of g over the cofiber, i.e. a map $C_W \to Y$ which is a cohomology isomorphism. But now notice that Y, being p-complete, must be the p-completion of C_W , i.e. $Y \simeq X_W$ as we set out to prove. \square

REFERENCES

- [ABN] J. Aguade, C. Broto, and D. Notbohm, Homotopy classification of spaces with interesting cohomology and a conjecture of Cooke. I, Topology 33 (1994) 455-492.
- [B-K] A. Bousfield and D. Kan, *Homotopy limits, completions, and localizations*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 304, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1972.
- [Brown] K. Brown, Cohomology of groups, Graduate Texts in Math., vol. 87, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.
- [C-E] A. Clark and J. Ewing, *The realization of polynomial algebras as cohomology rings*, Pacific J. Math. **50** (1974), 425-434.
- [DF-S] E. Dror Farjoun and J. Smith, A geometric interpretation of Lannes' functor T, Astérisque 191 (1990), 87-95.
- [Dwyer] W. Dwyer, Strong convergence of the Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence, Topology 13 (1974), 255-265.
- [DMW] W. Dwyer, H. Miller, and C. Wilkerson, Homotopical uniqueness of classifying spaces, Topology 31 (1992), 29-45.

- [D-W] W. Dwyer and C. Wilkerson, Spaces of null homotopic maps, Proceedings of the 1988 Luminy Conference, Astérisque 191 (1990), 97-108.
- [D-Z] W. Dwyer and A. Zabrodsky, Maps between classifying spaces, Proceedings of the 1986 Barcelona Conference on Algebraic Topology, J. Aguade, and R. Kane, eds., Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1298, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1988.
- [Lannes] J. Lannes, Sur les espaces fonctionels dont la source est le classifiant d'un p-groupe abelien elémentaire, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. 75 (1992), 135-244.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO, TOLEDO, OHIO 43606-3390 *E-mail address*: klesh@uoft02.utoledo.edu